

Date: Tue, 23 Mar 93 04:30:16 PST  
From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>  
Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu  
Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu  
Precedence: Bulk  
Subject: Info-Hams Digest V93 #365  
To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest                      Tue, 23 Mar 93                      Volume 93 : Issue    365

Today's Topics:

Real NoCodes

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu>  
Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>  
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available  
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text  
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official  
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

-----  
Date: Tue, 23 Mar 1993 10:37:00 GMT  
From: nsisrv!news1.gsfc.nasa.gov!nssdca.gsfc.nasa.gov!stocker@ames.arpa  
Subject: Real NoCodes  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <1993Mar20.024756.22555@anomaly.sbs.com>, ka1ftw@anomaly.sbs.com  
writes...

>  
>        No-Codes

[all the supposed wisdom deleted]

>  
>I and other Ham's have been working with 0.0's in two States and found that 98%  
of Jamming ect...  
>to be No-Codes.  
>One even got caught on their Local Police Frequency.  
>And just got caught again jamming two repeaters.( good ham huh ????)  
>  
>THIS DOES NOT PROMOTE GOOD PUBLIC RELATIONS DOES IT ?????  
>  
>---- OUR REPEATER MOTTO  
>

```

>----- 11 METERS FOR NO-CODES
>
>----- REAL HAMS BOUND BRASS !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>SIGNED
>          KEVIN
>          KA1FTW
>
>----- 11 METERS FOR NO-CODES
>
>----- REAL HAMS BOUND BRASS !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>SIGNED
>
>KA1FTW

```

This has to be one of the stupidest notes on this subject I have read. This is just the equivalent of "Polish Jokes" with No code substituted for Poles. The logic is infantile at the very best.

Poor operators are poor operators regardless of what license class they hold. One needs only to monitor the Dx'ers to see this same kind of inconsiderate, "I'm the most important" type of operating. Pounding out dits and dahs doesn't make anyone a better operator than anyone else.

Many of the no-codes I know are electrical engineers and might well laugh at what you call electronic experimenting or building.

If you feel the need to be superior, I would suggest that you gone a facist party. That group has as its purpose the establishment of superior people. I don't believe that was the intent of the FCC in establishing the licensing system.

I think that the entire ham community needs to re-evaluate itself and how it operates. Such re-evaluation is not solely the purview of no-code technicians. One of things that would be useful is if "you so very superior and so very excellent hams that make no mistakes" would take a more active role in helping people into the community rather than trying to keep them out based on your own type of caste system.

Erich

-----

Date: Tue, 23 Mar 1993 11:40:25 GMT  
 From: qualcom.qualcomm.com!unix.ka9q.ampr.org!karn@network.UCSD.EDU  
 To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <"18-Mar-93.12:, 46:49.CST".\*.Mike\_Beezley.houstoncssc@Xerox.com>,  
<1oake0INNgt8@topaz.bds.com>

Reply-To : karn@servo.qualcomm.com

Subject : Re: White House To Auction Airwaves

In article <1oake0INNgt8@topaz.bds.com>, ron@topaz.bds.com (Ron Natalie) writes:

|> I don't know about auction, but charging some of the more lucrative services  
|> wouldn't be a bad idea. A cellular franchise is like a license to print money,  
|> as are many of the broadcast outlets. There is essentially zip paid by the  
|> licensees for the privelege.

Don't forget who will end up paying this extra tax. Even if you aren't  
a cell phone user, you'll pay indirectly...

Phil

-----

End of Info-Hams Digest V93 #365

\*\*\*\*\*